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Abstract

Objective: Housing is a basic human need and buying one is an important decision that should be made carefully as it leads to future financial commitment. Developing a model that can help buyers make this decision by predicting the price of a house is of extreme importance. This work will investigate to what extent the performance of the predicting model can be improved by taking the distance to nearby schools into account.

Background: Early studies used different types of regression models, each trying to approach the research question in a different way with one thing in common; these models produced good predictions only when the researcher was able to make solid statistical assumptions which required a lot of domain knowledge and experience in statistical modelling.

Methods: To overcome these challenges, this work will use a machine learning approach. It requires no domain knowledge and was proved to produce excellent results even if the data had non-linear relationships. The dataset to be used in this work will include structural attributes like number of bedrooms and bathrooms and one locational attribute which is the distance to the nearest school. Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) was proved reliable for housing price predictions in earlier research and this work will aim at investigating how the accuracy of such models will change when distance to the nearest school is added to the model.

Results: Two ANN models were built, one that includes the distance to school feature and another model that does not. The results of R squared of the two models were compared to find the one that performed better. The results showed that the model that includes the distance to the nearest school scored 1% less.

Findings: R squared values were around 60% for the two models, a percentage that suggests there is a strong relationship between the different features in the main dataset used. Also, these results show that distance to school feature has made a positive contribution to the overall performance as R squared stayed almost constant when this feature was included despite the fact this contribution was not high enough to improve the performance of the model.

1 Introduction

Housing is regarded as one of the most essential needs in our modern society and having a system that can predict the right price of a house could help buyers and sellers achieve
better transactions; sellers will save money by cutting out auctioneers services and buyers can bid more comfortably by knowing the price given by such system is real, accurate and not inflated for profit (Mukhlishin et al. 2017). People tend to live near their children’s schools as proved by (Metz; 2015) to save money and time and as a result, prices of the properties nearby schools become higher. Previous research has studied the effect of schools on housing prices using regression models that included features like the number of bathrooms, bedrooms, year of sale (Wu et al. 2016) but using regression requires domain knowledge and strong statistics assumptions, challenges this research will overcome by using a machine learning approach, ANN. While ANN models are known for their very good performance, they still need a large number of rows in order to produce good results, which is a limitation this work might come across as access to housing information in Ireland depends on the Price Property Register that does not have any data prior to 2010. This work will build two ANN models using number of bedrooms/bathrooms, year of sale and address as features. The first model will include an additional distance to school feature and the second model will exclude it. R squared results of the two models will reveal the effect of adding distance to nearby schools on one of the models and by doing so this work will answer the question: To what extent can the accuracy of house price forecasting be improved by taking into account the distance to the nearest schools?

2 Related Work

The literature review of the research done on ”Prediction of Housing Prices” shows that there are two main approaches followed by researchers: Regression Modelling approach and Machine Learning approach (Park and Kwon Bae; 2015). The two approaches will be discussed thoroughly in the next two subsections named Regression and ANN.

2.1 Regression

Regression models are widely used to forecast prices of housing properties. In spite of their popularity which is due to their good overall performance when used with data that presents linear relationships between features, regression models face many challenges when dealing with non-linear relationships. Also, outliers, discontinuity and fuzziness in data could make building a reliable regression model a challenging task (Park and Kwon Bae 2015).

In this section we will go through some of the most relevant work done on regression models starting with (Brown and Rosen 1982). Their paper was one of the first papers published in the area of housing price predictions. They built a regression model and they included hedonic features to it (hedonic features are internal and external features that can affect the price of a house). In their work, they also managed to relate price to supply, demand and market stability. (Rabiega et al. 1984) was one of the first studies to take the social aspect of the surrounding neighbourhood into consideration where they studied the effect of building a public housing project on the prices of the housing properties nearby. Heteroscedasticity (unequal scatter) is considered a challenge to all regression models where it might cause them to make wrong predictions. In fact, most of the previous regression models suffered from this problem. (Bin 2004) found a solution to this issue by incorporating data from Geographic Information System (GIS) into their model to account for locational attributes of the houses. When compared to
older models, the one built by (Bin; 2004) performed better in both in-sample and out-of-sample predictions. This work will use the same approach to find the coordinates of each housing addresses but using Google Geocoding Services instead of GIS. Regression models kept improving with time, and the biggest improvement was done by (Osland; 2010). Their reason for success was assigning more weight in the regression equation to the economically strong areas through a comprehensive analysis. This approach required very strong field knowledge along with strong statistical assumptions and estimations which are considered challenges for most researchers, that are hard to overcome. Hence the need for a different method that is easier to implement and that does not require the deep knowledge of the housing market; a method that can capture the relationship between all the features in the dataset even if this relationship was not linear (Kuan et al.; 2010). Regression models built to predict housing prices included a wide spectrum of features with some more important than others. (Kahveci and Sabaj; 2017) has proved that the distance to school feature is an important one that contributed greatly to the regression model hence this model will investigate the effect of the addition of such feature to ANN.

2.2 ANN

Due to their abilities to capture non-linear relationships which is a task that cannot be done with regression models, machine learning methods are more suited for housing price prediction as relations between different features in a dataset are not always linear. There are many machine leaning techniques that are used by researches to predict housing prices and the most popular one is called Artificial Neural Networks or ANN (Chen and Zhang; 2014). ANN simulates the functioning of the natural nerves (neurons) in the human body. It learns patterns and relationships inside a dataset to make later predictions (Kohonen; 1988). Depending on their internal architecture, ANN can be divided into three main classes: feedforward neural networks (Moody and Darken; 1989), feedback neural networks (Elman; 1990) and self-organising networks (Kohonen; 1982). The research done by (Chiarazzo et al.; 2014) used ANN to predict housing prices. The coefficient of Correlation R was chosen to evaluate the accuracy of the predictions and it was found to be 0.827 on the testing dataset. A value that proves that ANN is a reliable machine technique when it comes to forecasting housing prices. The features used in the latter work included structural attributes as well as distances to nearby landmarks like suburban train stations and city centres. Based on these good results, this study will adopt the idea of including distance to Luas stations into the two models built which will boost their performances. Because of this, the two models will be strong enough (R squared reading will be significant in the two models) to investigate the effect of including the distance to schools feature.

3 Methodology

3.1 Data

As mentioned above, the main focus of this research is to investigate the effect of nearby schools on the housing prices. Dublin was chosen for this study, along with all primary schools scattered within its boundaries. The data used went through three main stages before it was ready to be used with the model:
a- Data Sourcing:

- Scraping data from daft.ie: Data of the housing sector were found on the Irish website daft.ie. The data present on the website was in the unstructured form and had to be scraped using Python. It included the following details; Address of a property, number of bedrooms/bathrooms, date of sale, price.

- Downloading primary school data from cso.ie: A dataset that included addresses of all primary schools in Ireland along with their coordinates was downloaded from cso.ie.

- Downloading Luas stops data: The dataset downloaded from data.gov.ie had the address of each Luas stop in Dublin along with its longitude and latitude.

b- Data cleaning: The data scraped from daft.ie was cleaned using python. More in depth cleaning techniques will be discussed in the implementation section. The data scraped also had missing values in the number of bedrooms/bathrooms fields and these features were deleted. Clean data were then placed in rows and columns in a dataset that will be referred to as the main dataset in the next sections.

c- Data Processing:

- Geocoding Addresses: Coordinates of each housing property in the dataset were found using a paid service offered by Google called Google Geocoding. Each housing address from the main dataset was fed to Google Services through Python Algorithm and an API. The algorithm returned the coordinates of each address fed in two features called longitude and latitude. These two features were then added to the main dataset. The main dataset now had the following features: Address, Price, number of bedrooms/bathrooms, Year, Longitude and Latitude.

- Finding Distances: The main task here was to find distances from each property to the nearest school. Each housing property has a pair of coordinates, longitude and latitude and each school also has longitude and latitude values. For a particular house, the algorithm will calculate the distances from the house to all schools in Dublin then compare their values and only keep the smallest one while dropping the rest. The same process was repeated for every house in the dataset until all distances from each property to its nearest school were found. These distances were then placed in a feature called Distance_to_School. Using the same approach, distances from each house to the nearest Luas stop were found (using the coordinates of the Luas stops downloaded from data.gove.ie) and added to the main dataset which has the following shape at this stage: (see Table 1 below)

The distance_to_luas feature was initially added to the model to help it and boost its performance by giving it another feature. Increasing R squared allowed this work to compare the values of the two models (with/without distance_to_school) in a clearer way.

- Building the models: Two models were built using ANN. One that includes the distance to school feature and looks exactly like Table 1 below, and another model without it. More in depth discussion about the modelling technique can be found in the next section.
3.2 Modelling

Based on the approach used by (Mukhlishin, Saputra, & Wibowo, 2017) to predict housing prices, two models were built using the same main dataset but with only one difference:
- The first model had distance_to_school feature included in the input dataset
- The second model dropped the distance_to_school feature from the main dataset

R squared and loss function results will reveal to what extent the distance to nearest schools has affected the model.

The strength of this approach lies in the fact that no prior knowledge of the housing sector is required before building the model. Also, the relationships between the different features, whether linear or not, can be captured by ANN. One limitation for this model is that data scraped from daft.ie had numerous missing values that had to be deleted (75% of the total number of rows). Around 25,000 rows were left in the dataset and despite the fact this number is acceptable to build a model, it is not considered ideal for ANN that usually prefers bigger numbers. The performance metrics between the two models will decide whether the distance to the nearest school has an impact on the housing prices.

3.3 Evaluation

ANN models are described as black boxes which produce results that are hard to explain. They adjust the weights and biases automatically and according to the parameters used with the model. Despite this fact, different metrics can be used with ANN that can show the accuracy of the predictions made by such model. With this work, one dependent feature was to be predicted which is the price of the housing property. In machine learning, this is considered a regression problem where a value (number) is being predicted and not a class. For this reason, confusion matrix and accuracy cannot be used in this case and instead this work has opted for other options to understand the quality of the predictions:

- R squared (coefficient of determination): An R squared function was built and added to the network that will return a value between 0 and 1. The closer to 1 the bigger proportion of variance is explained by the model. An early stop monitor was also added to the network with patience = 2, which meant the model will stop training if the performance did not improve after two epochs (an epoch describes the number of times the model sees the entire dataset). By definition, R square is one minus the Sum of Squares of Residuals (SSerror) divided by the Total Sum of Squares, R squared = 1 - (SSerror / SStotal).
• Loss function: The loss function used was the mean squared error. Loss functions measure the inconsistency between predicted values and labels. The smaller the loss value, the more robust and reliable the model.

• The input dataset of this work was of reasonable size (around 25,000 rows) which means a cross validation algorithm could be added to the model. The cross-validation algorithm divides the input dataset into training set (70%) and validation set (30%). Two scores will be displayed at the end of the training phase, one for the training set and the other is for the validation set. This process will be repeated 10 times (k=10) and at the end, the average of the scores of the 10 samples will be found. Cross validation gauges the performance of the model and checks whether it is accurate or it is over/under fitting.

• Tableau was used to draw a map of the housing properties in Dublin, highlighting the different prices across the city(Figure 8). Also, It was used to draw a map of all schools present in Dublin (Figure 9). Both maps can be seen in section 5.2. These two maps allow the visual analysis of the correlation between schools and housing prices.

Finally, CRISP-DM process model was followed throughout this entire work, from the early stage of studying the business needs until the final stage of deploying of the model. It is a process that was developed in year 2000 by CRISP-DM consortium (Chapman et al., 2000) and it was applied in this work by iterating almost all steps to achieve better results.

4 Design and Implementation

4.1 Design Specifications

a- Data Sourcing and Cleaning: In order to produce a system that can predict real prices in Euro, real data had to be sourced. This was the first step of the project. Three different sources were used:

• The first source is the Irish website http://www.daft.ie/price-register/ that keeps records of more than 85,000 housing properties sold between 2010 and 2018. The list of these sold properties can be found on a section of the website called Residential Property Price Register and it includes the price of the property, the complete address, the date of sale, the type of the property and the number of bedrooms and bathrooms. A screen shot of a section of the page can be seen in Figure 1 below.

![Screenshot 1](image)

Figure 1: Screenshot 1

The data cannot be downloaded from the website in structured form, so it was scraped using a Python algorithm. 3,800 pages were scraped and the details of the functioning of the algorithm will be discussed in a later section.
• The Irish Central Statistics Office have a website (www.cso.ie) that this work used to download a dataset containing addresses of all primary schools in Ireland, along with their coordinates (longitude and latitude).

• The last source of data is www.data.gov.ie. This work has downloaded a dataset from this website that contains addresses and locations of all Luas stops in Dublin. All locations are given in longitude/latitude format.

b- Data Scraping-Downloading: For the data downloaded from cso.ie and data.gov.ie, datasets included extra features that needed to be removed as they could not be used with our model. The only features remaining in each of these datasets were the Address, Longitude and Latitude and that was done for the sole reason of calculating the distances to schools and Luas stops to be used in the model. No other cleaning tasks were performed on these datasets as they are downloaded in columns/rows structured form.

The data present on daft.ie was scraped using python and a package called Selenium. Using a python algorithm, Selenium opens daft website using Chrome driver, scrapes the data on a specific section of the page using the sections XPATH, clicks the next button to move on to the following page, scrapes the data of the next page and repeats the process until all pages are scraped. The data scraped included the address, price, date of sale, number of bedrooms and bathrooms present in each property. The data scraped also included the type of the property (Detached house, apartment) which was removed in later steps as the description was not accurate with a large number of missing values.

c- Data Cleaning: The data scraped was split up and rearranged in columns (features) and rows (85,844 rows) and the names of the features are: Address, Price, Number of Bedrooms, Number of Bathrooms and Date of Sale. After arranging the data scraped into the structured form of rows and columns, further cleaning steps were taken:

• A large number of rows did not have the number of bedrooms/bathroom (see screenshot 2 in Figure 2 below) hence these rows were deleted. In total and after deletion of the latter rows, 25,343 housing properties were left in the dataset.

![206 Smithfield Village, Smithfield, Dublin 7, Co. Dublin €198,000 | 12/07/18 | Second-Hand Dwelling House/Apartment](Figure 2: Screenshot 2)

• Random asterisks (*) and characters like ("", —) that appeared after scraping daft.ie website were deleted using python script.

• The date format was changed from dd/mm/yy to yyyy to make it easier for the model.

• The Price feature had a comma , instead of the decimal point which was corrected in python.
d- Data Processing:

• Distance to Nearest School: As mentioned in the introduction, this work aims at studying the effect of nearby schools on the prices of housing properties. For this reason, distance between each property and the nearest school has to be calculated. One way to find the distance between two points is to find the coordinates of these two points first (longitude and latitude) and then calculate the distance between them. This work adopted the latter approach and used the following coordinates:

1- Coordinates of each housing property were found using a Geocoding Service offered by Google. A python script was used to communicate with the geocoding server through an API, then uses a dataset that has all the addresses scraped from daft as input and returns three features as an output which are: Longitude, Latitude and Postcode along with the initial addresses.
2- Coordinates of each school that are present in the dataset that were downloaded from www.cso.ie in a structured form where no additional processing was needed.

Using the two sets of coordinates above (1 and 2) and the following (R) algorithm:

```r
distance <- data.frame(distGeo(addresses,c("long","lat")), school[c("Long","Lat")], a=6378137, f=1/298.257223563))
ClosestDistance <- destring(distance$Distance[which.min(distance$Distance)])
```

the distances between each housing property and all schools in Dublin were found. These were then compared to each other and only the smallest ones were kept. The smallest distance found is then placed in a new feature called Distance in the dataset. The R algorithm will iterate over each housing address, so every housing property would have one distance (to nearest school) added to the Distance feature.

• Distance to Nearest Luas station: Using the same approach as above, distances to the nearest Luas station were added to the model after acquiring datasets from cso.ie that have the longitude and latitude of all Luas stations in Dublin.

• Geohashing: Google Services have been used in this work to geocode every address scraped from daft.ie. Also, these services offer the option to return postcodes for each address present along with the coordinates. The downfall of this process is the fact that postcodes returned had many missing fields. Postcodes are relatively new in Ireland and Google Maps does not have the postcode of each address in Ireland, hence the missing values in the returned results. To overcome this problem, the postcode feature returned by Google Services was dropped and instead, this work created a column called geohash by using longitude and latitude. Each address in Dublin has its longitude and latitude, which were used to produce a string of characters called geohash which is the same concept as a postcode. A range of nearby points will share the same geohash. Geohash is a very important feature in the dataset as it allows the model to place housing properties into groups depending on their locations which will improve the performance of the model and the quality of the predictions. Python offers a package called pygeohash that can perform
geohashing on longitudes and latitudes. In total 131 different groups or geohashes were created and used in the model to refer to areas in Dublin (see Figure 3 below):

![Figure 3: Screenshot 3](image)

Neural Networks cannot deal with text as inputs which means the geohash feature has to be changed to become a numerical one. For this reason, this feature was transformed into 131 dummy features (with values 1 or 0) using pandas package in python. This transformation has given the model a great performance boost which is going to be discussed thoroughly in the results section. The dummy features are shown in (Figure 4):

![Figure 4: Screenshot 4](image)

One proven important step in processing the data was standardising the values present in all features. Standardising data means rescaling values to be in between -1 and +1. Features present in the input dataset had large differences in values like in the case of number of bedrooms and distance to schools. The number of bedrooms/bathrooms is only between 1 and 10 while the distance to nearest school is in thousands of meters.

In addition to 131 dummy features created by geohashing, the main dataset to be used with the predicting model now has the following features:
1- Address of each property, scraped from daft.ie and cleaned
2- Year of sale, scraped from daft.ie
3- Number of bedrooms, scraped from daft.ie
4- Number of bathrooms, scraped from daft.ie
5- Longitude, geocoded by Google Services
6- Latitude, geocoded by Google Services
7- Price, which is the dependent feature that the model will predict-(scraped from daft.ie)
8- Distance to nearest school - the creation of this feature is illustrated in the diagram above
9- Distance to nearest Luas station - the same approach as finding distance to schools
4.2 Implementation

Linux machine with 8 V-CPUs was created on OpenStack cloud to tackle the heavy processing load, as neural networks need a long time to be trained. Python was used to scrape the data from daft.ie and train the neural network while R was used to find distances between coordinates which will be discussed in later sections. The training time using the Linux machine was 4,150 seconds (1.15 hours) for 2,000 epochs. Increasing the number of hidden layers to more than two, caused the model to diverge producing NA as results for R squared. Also, after each epoch, an R squared result is produced. The algorithm built by this work used k-fold cross fold validation (k=10), and returned the average of R squared over the 10 runs. The following code snippet highlights the averaging process:

```
scores = cross_val_score(neural_network, predictors, target, cv=10)
avg_score = np.mean(scores)
```

Neural Network Architecture:

a- Final Model: The main dataset comprises 145 features with numerical values. It was fed to the model to train a feed-forward back propagation ANN (Hagan and Menhaj, 1994) using a sigmoid training function that updates the weights and bias values according to Stochastic Gradient Decent (SGD) optimization. SGD was adopted after producing the best results (highest R squared) compared to other optimizers tested with this work like Adam and Gradient Descent. The ANN built has one input layer with 145 nodes which is equal to the number of features in the input dataset, one output with only one node which matches the number of outcomes that the model is trying to predict (one in this case, price) and one hidden layer that has 73 nodes. The learning rate is 0.01 and was decided after testing other rates (0.1,1) which failed to produce good results. Also, one important parameter had to be set which the number of epochs. This model set the number of epochs to be 300 as testing showed that a higher number caused the model to over fit. Number of batches is set to 100 which means 100 rows will be passed to the model at a time to be processed.

b- Training Process: At the start of the training process, the first result of R squared was negative, which meant the model was not performing well (it is not better than a model that always predicts the mean). To improve the performance of the model, two major data transformations were performed on the main dataset that help boosting the value of R square:

- Data in the main dataset was standardised: rescaling the main dataset between -1 and +1 created the biggest boost to the system and drove R squared to +0.33
- One-Hot Encoding: the geohash feature was encoded and 131 dummy features were created and added to the main dataset. This move has lifted R squared to 0.60 on the training set and 0.58 on the validation set.
5 Evaluation

5.1 Results

Evaluation: 1-Results: The two models built returned the following results:

- Final Model with no distance_to_school feature: as presented in the screenshot below (Figure 5), the coefficient of determination, which is the value of R squared (amount of variation in the main training dataset explained by this model) is around 60/100. While on the validation set, this value was around 58/100. The mean square error was around 0.37. It is worth mentioning higher results were achieved on the training dataset (R squared reached 66/100) but the model showed signs of overfitting as R squared was around 46/100 on the validation set.

![Figure 5: Screenshot 5](image)

- Final model including distance_to_school feature: also presented on the screenshot below (Figure 6), R squared scored the same number as above which is around 60/100 while it scored around 1/100 lower on the validation set.

![Figure 6: Screenshot 6](image)

Data transformations during the training phase and their direct impact on the performance of the model can be summarised in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Results-Training</th>
<th>Results-Validation</th>
<th>Loss-MSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Processed Data Only</td>
<td>$R^2 = -2.2$ (negative)</td>
<td>$R^2 = -2.7$</td>
<td>10725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standardizing Data+distance_to_school</td>
<td>$R^2 = 0.33$</td>
<td>$R^2 = 0.26$</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Hot Encoding</td>
<td>$R^2 = 0.6035$</td>
<td>$R^2 = 0.5847$</td>
<td>0.3590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adding distance to school</td>
<td>$R^2 = 0.6019$</td>
<td>$R^2 = 0.5728$</td>
<td>0.3675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overfitting-increasing layers</td>
<td>$R^2 = 0.661$</td>
<td>$R = 0.462$</td>
<td>0.568</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Running the model for the first time with processed data resulted in a negative R squared (\(= -2.2\)). Standardising the data and including distance to the nearest Luas stop increased R squared to 0.33. Another significant increase in R squared was seen after the longitude and latitude coordinates were geohashed and One-Hot encoded where R squared jumped to 0.6019. Lastly, increasing the number of hidden layers to more than two, has caused overfitting which was evident in the value that dropped significantly on the validation dataset (R squared = 0.462).

The similarity in performance between two models have given this work a reason to perform further investigation into the effect of nearest schools on their performance. The majority of schools in Ireland are not mixed gender. To cover schools with all genders, this work included another two nearby schools to the model. The R algorithm that found distance to the nearest school (section 4.1, d) was altered to return the smallest three distances instead of only one. The main dataset has now three columns, distance_to_school_1, distance_to_school_2 and distance_to_school_3 which are the distances to three nearest schools. The two models were run again, and the results are shown in the screenshot below (Figure 7). These results will be discussed in section 5.2.

![Figure 7: Model with Distances to the nearest Three schools](image)

### 5.2 Discussion

The training phase of the two ANN models has seen many turning points that were presented in the table above and are summarized as follows:

- The performance improved as data in the main dataset was transformed in a way that suits the Neural Networks. Standardising data and adding distance to Luas boosted the value of R squared to 0.33. Also, One-Hot encoding and creating dummy features had a significant effect on the performance of the model where the geohash feature which was turned into 131 dummy features, was proven very important as the performance of the two models saw a big improvement when these dummy features were added (R squared jumped to 0.6). An understandable increase considering geohash was a locational feature gotten from longitude and latitude and locations play an important role in deciding the price of a property. Naturally, some areas in Dublin are more expensive than others and these differences are shown in the Tableau map below (Figure 8).
Adding distance to school has seen drop of 1% in R squared value. This drop is insignificant as the results of ANN could oscillate around a certain value each time the model is run because ANN assigns the initial weights in a random way every time the model is run which means the performances of the two models are considered similar. Having R squared value around 60% for both models suggests that the two models are able to detect strong relationship between different features in the datasets used.

When distances to the three nearest schools were added to the model, R squared scored 0.531 on the validation set. It is also worth mentioning that increasing the number of layers to more than two caused the model to overfit which was evident in the last result presented in the table above when R squared value was 0.66 on the training set while it was only 0.462 on the validation set.

From what preceded it can be concluded that the distance to school feature has contributed positively to the model but it was not able to drive up the performance. Some of the reasons that could have contributed to this fact are:

1- Shortage in housing units in Dublin and the disproportionate ratio of demand over supply could be the reason distance to schools is not a first priority for home buyers.
2- Only primary schools were taken into consideration in this research. Secondary schools were not included which might have affected the overall outcome.
3- The number of rows used could have been small for ANN. ANN performs best when used against dataset that have large number of rows and wide spectrum of features. As mentioned earlier, this work has lost around 70% of the data for missing values.
4- This research included the whole city of Dublin as one region. (Figure 9) below shows local areas around Dublin where a cluster of schools is seen. This observation could mean that a more localised study of the suburbs of Dublin would reveal more insights about the relations between housing prices and distance to schools.
The Tableau map shown above (Figure 9) reveals the presence of clusters of primary schools around certain areas in Dublin. Schools are represented with coloured dots on the map.

6 Conclusion and Future Work

A thorough analysis of the results of R squared produced by the two models over 10-fold cross validation methods, show that the two of them have performed well on the main dataset. R squared was around 60/100 for both models, which is an indication that both models could find strong relationships among features within the datasets used (the only difference in the datasets of the two models is including/excluding the distance to school feature). This also proves that the distance to school feature has contributed to the model in a positive manner but this contribution was not strong enough to drive up the performance of the model.

Future work should take into consideration the recommendations cited in the discussion section like sourcing more data, including secondary schools instead of having only primary and localising the areas of research instead of taking Dublin as a whole. This work can be considered as a stepping stone towards building a strong model that can predict housing prices where property websites like daft.ie could integrate it into their website so buyers can check the prices of properties advertised by themselves, cutting out the fees of auctioneers.
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