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Abstract

The aim of this study is to provide an in-depth analysis into the usefulness of an organisation’s Employer Brand and the impact it has on candidate attraction and employee retention for Irish organisations.

Previous research into the area was focused outside of Ireland and predominantly based on the student population. This study strove to ascertain whether or not Irish organisations were justified in spending their resources in building and strengthening their Employer Brand with the aim of attraction and retention.

A quantitative method in the form of a survey was used to collect the data for this study. There were 198 participants which generated a significant amount of data. Upon analysis there were two specific findings. Firstly, that undoubtedly Employer Branding is a valuable tool in attracting candidates to Irish organisations and secondly that Employer Branding is not a valuable tool for Irish organisations in retaining their employees.
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Introduction

As the so called “war” for talent continues to gather momentum, increasingly, employers are needing to turn their attention to innovative new methods to attract and retain talent. One of the more strategic methods seen used to combat this in recent years has been through the use of employer branding, with many large organisations investing significantly in it (Backhaus and Tikoo, 2004; Davies, 2008). Lenaghan & Eisner (2006) describe Employer Branding as a strategic attempt to attract and retain employees by establishing their organisation as an “employer of choice”. Backhaus and Tikoo (2004) note that the employer brand is used to not only recruit but also to ensure organisational commitment. According to Heilmann (2013) a strong employer brand will enable recruitment to become more cost and time efficient and will attract a better quality of candidate. In addition, it has been noted by several subject experts that a strong employer brand will also increase job satisfaction among employees and inspire increased loyalty and commitment to the organisation (Barrow and Mosley, 2005; Sartain and Schumman, 2006; Fulmer et al., 2003). Organisations that boast high levels of employee engagement and commitment tend to have significant advantage over their competitors not only in terms of revenue but also overall desirability as an employer (Backhaus and Tikoo, 2004; Barrow and Mosley, 2005).

Undoubtedly this makes the correlation between employer brand and employee attraction and employee retention an extremely relevant topic. Numerous studies have focused on areas related to this topic including Highhouse et al., (2003) whose paper focused on measuring how individuals are attracted to organisations. Sivertzen et al., (2013) touched on the value of employer branding as a retention tool while Sokro (2012) research into employer branding and its effect on attraction and retention was focused on the banking sector in Ghana. Das and Ahmed (2014) delved into how the Tesco brand perception affects their recruitment and selection processes. Minchington (2006) makes the observation that some organisations are failing to utilise their employer brand in the most optimum way and therefore not availing of the competitive advantage it could deliver if maintained and developed properly. Khalid and Tariq (2015) focused their research on the impact employer brand had on recruitment and selection in Pakistan while Ilesanmi (2014) research on employer branding and attraction and retention was carried out on employees in the Nigerian brewing industry.
The research carried out to date examining the perceived positive relationship between employer brand and employee attraction and retention has limitations. It has been focused primarily on third level students or has been outside of Ireland. What has been noted is that the influence employer branding has on both employee and the organisation’s performance is an area worth investigating (Ambler and Barrow, 1996; Backhaus and Tikoo, 2004; McLaren, 2011).

Ireland is one of the most popular locations for foreign direct investment with countless multinational and tech. companies choosing to set up operations here. Considering this and the time and money that organisations in Ireland spend in developing their employer brand with the intention of attracting employees and reducing turnover and the lack of current relevant empirical justification for this, it is now timely that a study is carried out on the topic within an Irish perspective.

The researcher herself is a HR professional in a large Irish organisation, has noted that much time and discussion is spent on the subject of employer branding and she would like to be able to have some solid facts on the topic that is based solely on Irish employees. To have carried out such a study will be of great benefit to her career and also will provide her with an area of subject expertise that she can continue to build on and grow.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Employer Branding

Employer branding is an area of huge interest for organisations and the perceived benefits of it appear to be endless. According to Backhaus and Tikoo (2004) the considerable resources that organisations spend in developing their employer brand is indicative of its value. Furthermore, they go on to note that the employer brand is used both to attract potential employees and to ensure that current employees are committed to the organization. The notion of it was first discussed by Ambler and Barrow (1996) where through their research they deduced that employer branding was extremely relevant to the area of human resource management. They go on to describe how an employer brand has three specific benefits “functional, economic and psychological”.
Its origins are most certainly derived from corporate branding which has the aim of creating a brand that is positive, desirable and different and one that will deliver sustained competitive advantage (Foster et al., 2010; Mosley, 2007). According to Sullivan (2004), employer branding is defined as “a targeted, long-term strategy to manage the awareness and perceptions of employees, potential employees, and related stakeholders with regards to a particular firm”. Alternatively, Shaker and Ahmed (2014) take a simpler approach and define employer branding as the positive manner in which an organisation represents itself in the market to prospective employees. Undoubtedly, employer branding is the way an organisation communicates its message externally to potential employees and internally to its existing employees. An organisation’s employer brand will focus on its employees as internal customers and prospective candidates as external customers. The existing employees or internal customers are immersed in the company culture which is a key component of the employer brand and can therefore be inspired to enhance it through positive experiences, feelings and beliefs (Heilmann et al., 2013).

Throughout the literature on employer branding there appears to be a number of key components that are consistently present. Researchers seem to agree with the notion that employer branding is holistic by nature but aimed at creating a positive experience for employees (Gaddam 2008; Mosley 2004). Another area constantly highlighted is around the use of the employer’s brand essence and how it should be incorporated into work-related activities and the organisation’s surroundings and then also, how it would be communicated externally (Ambler and Barrow 1996; Mosley 2005). Brand essence is essentially the organisation’s culture and values. According to Backhaus and Tikoo (2005) an organisation’s employer brand is what differentiates it from its competitors and is one of its most valuable assets.

Various studies focus on the advantages of utilising employer branding as a business strategy. Some of the perceived benefits concluded from a thorough review of the literature are: reducing attrition rates (Lenaghan and Eisner 2005; Minchington and Thorne 2007), reducing recruitment costs (Barrow and Mosley 2007), increasing employee engagement and employee retention (Backhaus et.al., 2004; Van Mossevelde 2010; Love et.al.,2011), and most importantly, increasing both company revenue and shareholders returns (Becker and Huselid 2001).
An organisation’s employer brand has two areas in which can be used to add value: these are their internal employer brand and their external employer brand. The employer brand can be marketed externally to attract employees and marketed internally to increase satisfaction, motivation and retention. A strong internal employer brand can be difficult for an organisation’s competitors to replicate and this can be a powerful source of competitive advantage (Stamler 2001).

2.2 External Employer Brand and Corporate Brand

An organisation’s external employer brand is generally the first touch point for prospective employees. It is usually identical to or at least similar to the organisation’s corporate brand and is what would have attracted the individual to the organisation in the first instance. Backhaus and Tikoo (2004) make the observation that there are two main differences between external employer brand and corporate branding - firstly that employer brands have internal and external components whereas a corporate brand only focuses externally. Secondly, they also note that the employer brand will never seek to communicate with the organisation’s customers, as its sole function is to attract new employees. However, the reason that the employer brand and corporate brand support each other is that they are each formed with components of the core mission and values of the organisation (Barrow and Mosley 2005). Both the employer brand and corporate brand can be communicated by the organisation’s employees (Foster et al., 2010). An organisation’s external brand seeks to attract the top talent available in the labour market by making it an employer of choice while the corporate brand is focused on attracting customers or new business to the organisation for financial gain.

According to Miles and Mangold (2005) an organisation’s external brand will be communicated to its audience much like its corporate brand through formal methods such as media, advertisements and participating in “employer of choice” awards and surveys and also informally through its employees’ feelings and descriptions of their employer to their friends and peers.
2.3 Internal Employer Brand

Internal employer branding refers to the methods an organisation uses to engage, motivate and retain their employees through their culture and brand personality (Thompson et al., 1999) The desired result of these efforts is to deliver a sustained competitive advantage through their human capital and not in the typical manner of business practices and procedures. (Jacobs 2003) For an employer brand to be successful, it is critical that its values are aligned with its employees’ values (Harris and de Chernatony 2001).

According to Martin (2011) the shift of focus from external branding to internal branding was as a direct result of the recent economic downturn. Organisations realised that using their employees as brand ambassadors was a more cost effective way of spreading their brand message and consequently, focused their attentions on building their employer brand internally. What greater advocates could an organisation hope to have for their company then their own employees and it is with this intention that organisations have even begun to recruit employees that fit with the culture and values of the organisation, so they can immediately become brand ambassadors. According to Cusen (2009), once employees begin to internalise the employer brand values they will be able to deliver the brand promise and experience externally.

According to King and Grace (2010) the management of the organisation’s internal brand is based on the proposition of an exchange between organisation and employee of material goods for commitment and hard work. Its success is defined by the working environment and whether it is favourable for its employees, in order to foster that brand loyalty and commitment that is essential in a strong internal employer brand. A well-developed internal brand will culminate in increased organisational identification from its employees and this has been found to reduce turnover and increase performance in employees (Riketta 2005).

An organisation’s internal brand can be communicated through formal sources such as policies, procedures, training and development initiatives which signify the organisation’s obligation and value that they place in their employees. Informal sources also aid to communicate the brand such as the spread of values and messages from co-workers and managers (Miles and Mangold 2005). Effective communication between employees and management is the key in
communicating the internal brand. However, a breakdown in this communication can be detrimental and can result in the internal brand message not being shared between internal stakeholders (Punjaisri and Wilson 2007).

2.4 Attraction

Employer attractiveness is defined as the imagined benefit prospective employees imagine to be achieved by working for a specific organisation. (Berethon et al., 2005) According to Backhaus and Tikoo (2004) people wanted to be viewed positively and therefore candidates that are able to identify themselves with the brand or persona of the company are far more likely to seek employment with that company. Highhouse et al., (2007) agreed with the findings of Backhaus and Tikoo (2004) and further expanded on it by explaining this phenomenon with two types of attraction: firstly, “social adjustment need” which is where candidates will wish to identify themselves with the positive image of an organisation, because by working for an organisation that is held in high regard, the individual’s public identity is strengthened leading to social approval. Secondly, Highhouse et al., (2007) spoke about “value expression”. Similarly, a person that is high in value expression is concerned with working for a highly reputable organisation- they need to feel pride in their organisation.

Hedlund et al., (2009) note that an organisation is found to be attractive if candidates want to apply to roles in it, are then engaged in their roles and intend to stay. Previously organisations relied heavily on their tangible benefits i.e. compensation packages in order to ensure they attracted the best talent in the market; however, it is now acknowledged that there are other factors that impact candidate attraction to organisations. Intangible benefits such as recognition, praise, flexible working agreements have also been found to increase motivation and organisational commitment and it has been found that candidates are attracted to employers that provide a good work-life balance (Saqib et al., 2015).

Talent attraction has always been one of the key functions of human resource management (HRM) alongside performance management, talent management, reward, retention, planning, change management etc. While each of these functions are extremely important and critical to organisational success the function of attraction, recruitment and selection of talent is the one
that can be the most impactful and necessary for the continued success of an organisation. Leonard’s (2000) now iconic statement of how organisations “live and die on the basis of their ability to attract the right talent for the job” is more pertinent than ever before in today’s current tight labour market.

Nolan and Harold (2010) agree with Backhaus and Tikoo (2004), Highhouse et al., (2007) notion that applicants are attracted to organisations whose image correlates with their own perceived self-image. They go on to describe how an organisation’s image has two components, these being, “instrumental attributes” and “symbolic meanings” with the latter relating to feelings and perceived fit of the employee to the organisation, and the former relating to location, remuneration etc. Slaughter et al., (2004) echo this sentiment with their observation that an organisation’s brand and image is developed through both their advertising strategies, products and services and also by their employees, customers and actual locations of their businesses. Both components will aid a prospective employee in deciding whether an organisation shares the values that they deem to be important and reflective of themselves, as ultimately this will influence their attraction to a particular organisation (Nolan and Harold 2010; Backhaus and Tikoo 2004; Slaughter et al., 2004).

An extremely popular method of measuring organisational attractiveness was first employed by Fisher et al., (1979) where they used three measures: company attractiveness, intent and prestige. These three measures have been used by numerous researchers in the years since then (Highhouse et al., 2003). Company attractiveness reveals an individual’s feelings towards a particular organisation but does not necessarily imply that the individual will act on these feelings. Intent however takes it a step further and reveals whether the individual intends to act on these feelings. Prestige looks into the organisation and whether its reputation is perceived to be positive or negative by the individual and the public (Highhouse et al., 2003).

As noted by Berethon et al., (2005) the more attractive an organisation is perceived to be then the stronger the employer brand is. There are numerous means that can be employed to build a strong employer brand and therefore increase attraction, retention and overall satisfaction. One of the more popular strategies utilised by organisations in recent years is through the use of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) programs. Such an approach had a two-pronged effect as it not only delivers a source of competitive advantage through enhancing their employer
brand but also ticks a box for them in terms of philanthropy. CSR has been proven to be a deciding factor in job selection, Bustamente (2014), Kim and Park (2011) in addition to organisational commitment Brammer et al., (2007). Organisations have begun to successfully blend their CSR strategy with their employer brand and now it is difficult to differentiate which purpose came first. Undoubtedly, an organisation that clearly shows signs of caring about their corporate social responsibility has a positive reputation as a result of it. Bustamente (2014) notes that this shift into utilising CSR as a brand strategy came about as a result of the global financial crisis. Organisations, with the intention of rebuilding the trust that had been broken in them developed robust strategies to improve their image.

2.5 Retention and Turnover intent

Over the past number of years, the labour market has become very much candidate driven. Organisations are constantly challenged by high attrition rates with employees changing employers more frequently than ever before. While some of this may be unavoidable due to retirement and natural attrition, losing one’s key employees to competitors can be detrimental to an organisation’s performance as a result of valuable knowledge and skills being lost (Beardwell and Claydon 2010).

High employee turnover may also have a harmful effect on the organisation’s culture and morale of its existing employees (Tanova and Holtem 2008). Therefore, it is crucial that organisations take necessary steps to increase its retention rates and reduce turnover. In order to do so, they will need to fully comprehend the elements that encourage employees to remain committed to their organisation (Pilbeam and Corbridge 2010).

The concept of employer branding as a retention tool is a relatively new one. According to Taylor (2002) organisations should make their employment offering so differentiated and superior to that of its competitors that their employees would never be tempted to leave. If an organisation develops and utilises its employer brand effectively, it will result in the transfer of an employee from a contract of employment to psychological contract.

The concept of the psychological contract is directly linked to employer branding strategies. Branding experts have taken the psychological contract theory and formed the basis of their
branding strategies with the intention of forming a psychological contract with their employees. According to Rousseau (1995), the psychological contract refers to the subtleties of the working relationship between employer and employee and it is what affects an employee’s behaviours and feelings while on the job. Employees no longer are solely motivated by money and in order to retain talent, organisations are seeking to maintain a bond with them through the development of their psychological contract through building a strong employer brand loyalty (Capelli 2001). An organisation can begin to build the psychological contract from the recruitment stage and build on it throughout the employment life cycle. Components of the psychological contract can include developmental opportunities, job security, trust in management, fairness and equality, and trust in the employer to keep the promises it made. (Armstrong 2001) However, the psychological contract can be broken when an organisation forgets about the promises it has made to its employees and instead focuses solely on its own goals and targets. This can then result in an increase in turnover. (Rousseau 1995) Chiang et al., (2013) found Employer Branding to have a positive connection with the psychological contract as it positively influenced the behaviours and attitudes of its employees.

A strong employer brand has been recognised to induce “organisational identification” in employees, which has according to “social identity theory” been proven to be a powerful predictor of turnover intention (Riketta 2005). Employees that display high levels of organisational identification can be utilised by the organisation as champions of the brand and communicate it to other employees.

Turnover intention has been defined as the likelihood that an individual will change their employment within a specified timeframe. (Souza-Poza 2007) While Lacity et al., (2008) described it as “the extent to which an employee plans to leave an organisation”. However, turnover intent is not categorical and there is a difference between turnover intent and actual turnover that has been measured in various studies. (Byrne 2005; Steensma et al., 2004) Obviously high turnover rates are strongly correlated with high turnover intention.

2.6 Previous Studies

From a thorough review of the literature it is clear that the topic of employer branding and its use as tool for attraction and retention of top talent is a valuable one. While there have been
similar studies undertaken in previous years (Sokro 2012; Ilesanmi 2014; Botha 2011; Kheswa 2014; Kidrakarn 2014), their research has been based on their home countries all of which are non EU countries. Furthermore, to date the majority of the research on employer branding has been carried out using an external perspective with very little research carried out on its role in retaining and being attractive to current employees.

Therefore, as there is a substantial gap in the research carried out to date, I propose that my research shall aim to bridge that gap by providing a detailed analysis into the benefits of using employer branding as a tool to attract and retain talent in the Irish organisations.

3. Research Methodology

3.1 Research Objectives

The main objectives of this dissertation are to:

1. Ascertained whether employer branding is a valuable tool in attracting candidates in Irish organisations;
2. To establish if employer branding is a valuable tool in retaining employees in Irish organisations.

3.2 Research Philosophy

According to Saunders et al., (2008) the research philosophy that a researcher chooses can indicate their very outlook on life and when deciding which philosophy to use the researcher should use their research question as a guide. There are two main ways of looking at research philosophy, these are ontology and epistemology.

“Ontology is concerned with the nature of reality”. (Saunders et al., 2008) It is generally associated with business and management researchers, those who tend to be more practical and realistic with their research. Ontology has two aspects to it - objectivism and subjectivism (Quinlan 2011).

Epistemology is related to knowledge, both what constitutes knowledge and also what creates knowledge. There are three main views to epistemology: positivism, interpretivism and realism (Saunders et al., 2008).
In summary Ontology is concerned with what is true and Epistemology is focused on discovering and understanding those truths. Saunders et al., (2008) note that neither philosophy is better than the other. It is just simply dependant on what is being researched and by whom.

3.2 Secondary Research

Both primary and secondary research methods were used for this piece of research. In the first instance secondary research was employed, the findings of this can be found in the literature review section. This secondary data was gleaned from academic journals, websites and books related to the topic being researched. The researcher focused the bulk of her secondary research on previous studies directly linked to her own topic. This allowed her to find a gap in their research and also to avoid doubling up on research that had already been carried out. The main disadvantage associated with the secondary data collected in this piece of research, is that the notion of employer branding is a relatively new one and therefore there is a limited amount of secondary data available.

3.3 Research Approach

One can either adopt a deductive approach or an inductive approach to research. According to Bryman and Bell (2011), a deductive approach is aimed at testing theory, whereas an inductive approach is concerned with developing new theories. As a result of this, deductive approaches use quantitative research methods, while inductive use qualitative. However this is not a set rule. Gabriel (2013) Bryman and Bell (2011) go on to note that deductive approaches tend to follow a more linear pattern while inductive approaches to research can more iterative and not necessarily following a specific configuration.

As the purpose of this research is to examine the correlation between three variables the use of a deductive approach is the more suitable to test the hypothesis stated for this thesis, which has been of course derived from existing literature and theories. This approach will allow a much wider sample to be researched and in this instance give much deeper insights into the question at hand.
3.4 Primary Research

In research one can either use quantitative research, qualitative research or a combination of both methods. Quantitative research uses questionnaires, surveys or experiments to generate numerical data in the form of statistics. Quantitative research can focus on a large number of participants and can make deductions on a whole population by using a sample (Bryman and Bell 2011). Quantitative research is generally linked with a positivist research philosophy and deductive research approach, both of which are extremely relevant to this piece of research. However, in the future a qualitative research approach could be used to give more depth into this area.

Qualitative research is non-calculating in nature and instead focuses on discovering underlying factors rather than measuring numbers through the use of interviews, focus groups and observations. (Bryman and Bell 2011) Qualitative is more descriptive by nature and evaluates thoughts and feelings (Barnham 2015).

Mixed method research is another method that is commonly used, this is where the researcher utilises a mixture of quantitative and qualitative research. (Horn 2009) While such a method can provide increased depth compared to the use of a single method, it can be quite time consuming and was deemed to be unnecessary for this piece of research.

For the purpose of this piece of research the use of a deductive quantitative research approach has been deemed to be the most appropriate to use. The researcher felt that in order to reach a wider population and taking into consideration time-constraints and convenience chose to do quantitative research.

The quantitative data was collected using an online survey tool called Question Pro in the form of a self-completed questionnaire that was distributed via email and various social media channels. Self-completed questionnaires allow the respondents to remain completely anonymous which can encourage completion by a wider population. They also enable the researcher to reach a wider audience. The anonymity provided by a self-completed questionnaire has been found to enable respondents to answer questions more truthfully and therefore the results may be more reliable than other methods. (Nardi 2003) The questionnaires were constructed mainly using two well tested scales with some demographic and open ended questions also included.
3.5 Ethical Considerations

Confidentiality for the participants of the research was the primary concern of the researcher. Each survey was completed on a completely anonymous basis and participation was voluntary. At no time was any personal information requested and participants were able to withdraw from the survey at any stage during the process. Contact details of the researcher were provided to each respondent should they wish to receive a summary of the findings of the research.

3.6 Data Analysis

Data was analysed using SPSS software. Data was firstly exported to Excel from Question Pro and the copied to SPSS. Once in SPSS the data had to be cleaned and sorted in order to begin analysing.

3.7 Sample

Convenience sampling was the method employed to collect data for this dissertation. The researcher utilised her network of connections from LinkedIn to collect the data. As a former recruiter, she has an extensive network of business professionals at her disposal and decided the most suitable and convenient method to gather a sample from Irish organisations was to use the business networking site.

While it may seem to be an ad-hoc method of collecting results, it actually was more strategic than that. This network of professional business connections has been cultivated and grown over a five year period and contains countless valuable contacts and connections. The purpose of having such a network was to not only have a suitable candidate pool to recruit from but also for the purpose of business development and networking. This sampling method allowed access to a unique sample of considerable value. Having recruited previously up to senior executive level, the range of respondents that were asked to complete the questionnaire was vast and wide reaching.

The questionnaire was shared on LinkedIn to a possible 3,500 connections but with the request that only individuals based in Ireland complete it. The researcher initially hoped to collect 100
completed questionnaires but the response rate exceeded expectations with 198 completions of the questionnaire.

3.8 Characteristics of Respondents

In order to gain further insight into the characteristics of the participants, descriptive statistics were used through SPSS. As mentioned previously, there were 198 participants to the survey. As can be seen below in the table showing the gender of respondents 42.4% of respondents were male and 57.6% of respondents were female.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>42.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Gender**

The table below shows the age demographic of participants. The largest age range surveyed belonged to the 30-39 age bracket with 42.9% of respondents falling into this category. The smallest age range surveyed was the 60-69 age bracket, with only 2.5% of participants belonging to this category.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18 to 29 Years</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 to 39 Years</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>70.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 to 49 Years</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>87.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 to 59 Years</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>97.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 to 69 Years</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Age**
As shown in the table below, the largest majority 78.8% of the respondents were employed in the private sector with the rest being split across public, semi-state and self-employed sectors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>92.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-state</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>97.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self Employed</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As can be seen in Table 5, Respondents were quite evenly spread across all stages of the employment life cycle. While the largest number of employees came from the mid-level stage of their careers 14.6% of respondents were senior management.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role Level</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Level</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>61.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>85.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Management</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.
The final table below indicates whether or not respondents split by role level have individuals reporting into them. This will give an indication of the seniority of the roles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Junior Valid Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Level Valid Yes</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Valid Yes</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>58.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Management Valid Yes</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>93.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reports

3.9 Scale Identification and Reliability

To test employer brand and attraction the 25 item EmpAt (Employee Attitudes) scale was used. Berethon et al (1995) devised the unique EmpAt scale to assess the dimensions of employer attractiveness in employer branding. This scale has been proven to measure the strength of an organisation’s employer brand by how attracted an individual is to it in relation to the 25 items. EmpAt has been used in several studies since it was developed, for example, Broeke (2015); Tamoniene (2015); and Arrehag (2014).

The scale consists of 25 items which measured five constructs: “interest value, social value, economic value, development value and application value”.

Interest value evaluates whether an exciting workplace, that is highly innovative is a factor in attracting the individual to the organisation and to what extent. Social value investigates
whether a happy working environment with colleagues that are friends is an indicator of attraction and also the level of it. Economic value focuses on the extent that compensation affects attraction. Development value assesses how attracted an individual is to an organisation because of its career progression and developmental opportunities and finally Application value focuses on the extent an individual would be attracted to an organisation that would allow them to give back either in charitable means or also in terms of developing others in the organisation (Berethon et al 2005).

The second scale used in this study was the Turnover scale (tis-6) as developed by Roodt (2004). This scale has been proven to measure an employee’s intent to leave their current employment using a 6 item scale (Bothma and Roodt 2013). Originally it contained 15 items but has been narrowed to be a 6 item scale which is known as Tis-6. It has been validated and proved to be a highly reliable method of deducing turnover intent among employees by several researchers including Bothma and Roodt’s (2013) study which investigated its reliability.

Firstly, the aim of this research is to measure, using EmpAt, whether an organisation’s employer brand is a factor in attracting prospective candidates. Secondly Tis-6 will measure the same individual’s intent to leave, in order to deduce whether a strong or weak brand correlates with turnover intent.

3.10 Scale Reliability

In order to assess reliability of scales used, it is necessary to have both scales undertake the computation of coefficient alpha (Cronbach 1951) or more commonly known as Cronbach’s alpha. It is widely noted across academia that Nunnally (1978) deemed a Cronbach Alpha score of 0.70 or above to be accepted to be reliable.

The results for this particular sample are detailed below but in summary, all are deemed to be reliable with the exception of Economic Value which scored 0.593 and Application Value which was borderline at 0.683. This was somewhat surprising as Berethon (1995) found each value to have a Cronbach of 0.91 and this scale has been widely reported by other researchers to be reliable. However, the researcher acknowledges that the demographic makeup of the sample she surveyed was very different to that of Berethon et al., (1995). Berethon et al., (1995) study focused on students in Australia whereas this piece of research is focused on
professionals in Ireland. The researcher recognises that while these scales may be deemed to be unreliable, it is necessary for the purpose of this dissertation to continue with the research.

3.10.1 Interest Value reliability results

Table 1 and 2 below outline the results of the reliability analysis on Interest Value. There were 198 responses across five items which contributes to the overall Interest Value composite score. For Interest Value a Cronbach Alpha score of 0.717 is reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Processing Summary</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cases Valid</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excluded</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reliability Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cronbach's Alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N of Items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.

3.10.2 Social Value Reliability results

Table 3 and 4 below outline the results of the reliability analysis on Social Value. There were 198 responses across five items which contributes to the overall Social Value composite score. For Interest Value a Cronbach Alpha score of 0.809 is reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Processing Summary</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cases Valid</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excluded</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reliability Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cronbach's Alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N of Items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4
3.10.3 Economic Value Reliability results

Table 5 and 6 below outline the results of the reliability analysis on Economic Value. There were 198 responses across five items which contributes to the overall Economic Value composite score. For Economic Value a Cronbach Alpha score of 0.593 is reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Processing Summary</th>
<th>Reliability Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excludeda</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cases</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.

3.10.4 Developmental Value Reliability results

Table 7 and 8 below outline the results of the reliability analysis on Developmental Value. There were 198 responses across five items which contributes to the overall Developmental Value composite score. For Developmental Value a Cronbach Alpha score of 0.752 is reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Processing Summary</th>
<th>Reliability Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excludeda</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cases</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.  

Table 8.
3.10.5 Application Value Reliability results

Table 9 and 10 below outline the results of the reliability analysis on Application Value. There were 198 responses across five items which contributes to the overall Application Value composite score. For Application Value a Cronbach Alpha score of 0.683 is reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Processing Summary</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excludeda</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reliability Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cronbach's Alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N of Items</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10.

3.10.6 Turnover Intent Reliability results

Table 1 and 2 below outline the results of the reliability analysis on Turnover Intent (Tis-6). There were 198 responses across five items which contributes to the overall Turnover Intent composite score. For Turnover Intent a Cronbach Alpha score of 0.792 is reported. This result was very similar to the one Bothma and Roodt (2013) achieved when the validated Roodt (2004) scale.
## 4. Results

In this section we present the results associated with this research. We first present a descriptive overview of the characteristics of each variable under consideration. We present both graphical representations and numerical measures of centre and dispersion.

### 4.1 Description of Variable Distributions

Figures 1 through to 6 present a description of each variable’s distribution. As can clearly be seen each distribution is positively skewed, with the exception of turnover which is symmetric. This positive skew would indicate that the vast majority of respondents - low values indicating acceptance - feel that the measure is important with respect to branding.

Figures 1 to 5 are related to Employer Branding and Attraction. A positive skew is indicative of a positive correlation between the five components, Berethon et al (2005), associated as being contained in an organisation’s employer brand and how attracted an individual is to an organisation as a result of them. As stated above and can be seen below in Figures 1 to 5, our results have found there to be a highly positive skew and can therefore confirm a strong relationship between employer branding and candidate attraction.
Figure 1. which relates to Interest Value clearly indicates that the respondents found innovation, creativity, and excitement to be extremely important to them when selecting an organisation to work for. It indicates that an organisation that has these attributes is extremely attractive. This is not surprising, with organisations that display these characteristics such as Google and Facebook, constantly topping employer of choice awards.

As can be seen in Figure 2, respondents surveyed valued a happy, safe working environment and that relationships with both colleagues and superiors were of value. It is clear that organisations that display these characteristics are indeed attractive. The notion that social
value would be an indicator of a strong Employer Brand is indeed in agreement with the literature, specifically on the idea of the psychological contract. As mentioned previously Armstrong (2001) found job security and trust in management to be important components of the psychological contract and therefore an employer’s brand.

Figure 3. shows the relationship between employer brand and Economic Value, which is again positively skewed indicating that respondents valued promotional opportunities, job security and generous compensation packages. It indicates that if an organisation was displaying these characteristics that they would indeed be attracted to it. Economic Value as a component of Employer Brand is directly linked with Nolan and Harold (2010) idea that an Employer Brand has two key components, “instrumental attributes” being the one similar to economic value as it relates to remuneration and other tangible benefits incurred as a result of working for the organisation.

Developmental Value as can be seen in Figure 4 is an important component of an organisation’s brand and one that respondents found to be very important. It shows that there is a positive relationship between how working for a particular organisation makes a person feel and if they would be attracted to work there. The Respondents who feel this value is very important, need to feel pride in their organisation and a feeling of belonging. Backhaus and Tikoo (2004) as seen in the literature also found that individuals will be attracted to an organisation that will either fit with their own perceived persona or indeed improve it. This is in agreement with Highhouse et al., (2007) theory of “social adjustment need” where they found that individuals will want to identify themselves with the positive image of an organisation and Nolan and Harold 2010 idea of “symbolic meanings” which relates to feelings or perceived fit with the organisation.

Figure 5. Shows the positive skew between Application Value and organisational attraction. Organisations that are highly focused on CSR or perhaps NGO’s or Humanitarian aid organisations would certainly be highly attractive to respondents who rate this value highly. Application Value is similarly related to Highhouse et al., (2007) theory of “value expression”
where people that are high in value expression are concerned with working for a highly reputable organisation.

Finally Figure 6. Indicates the symmetrical score between Employer Branding and Turnover Intent. It shows that there is little or no relationship between these two items. This is in contrast to some of the reviewed literature where Sokro (2012) research found that 82.8% of their respondents that felt their organisations brand image had positive values intended to stay for at least five years more and Ilesanmi (2014) who also found that individuals that found their organisations employer brand to be strong did not intend to leave. Their research was obviously carried out on a very different sample, in Sokro’s case it was focused in Ghana while Ilesanmi’s was in Nigeria. Chiang et al., (2013) research into the correlation between Employer Brand and the psychological contract which led to organisational commitment by the employees and then their intention to stay, reported a positive correlation. However, the findings are in line and supportive of Badawy et al., (2015) Egyptian study on the effect Employer Brand has on retention and motivation. They found that there was an insignificant correlation between Employer Brand and Retention.

Table 1 presents an overview of a number of numerical measures of centre (mean and median) and a number of measures of dispersion (std. Dev, Skewness). Recall that a value of 3 on each scale indicates neither agreement or disagreement. Clearly, with the exception of Turnover, all other scales have average values of less than 3 which indicates that they strongly agree, this clearly supports the graphical interpretation that was presented above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>InterestValue Composite</th>
<th>SocialValue Composite</th>
<th>EconomicValue Composite</th>
<th>DevelopmentValue Composite</th>
<th>ApplicationValue Composite</th>
<th>TurnoverIntent Composite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.8546</td>
<td>1.5223</td>
<td>1.8177</td>
<td>1.9932</td>
<td>2.0938</td>
<td>3.0951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>1.6000</td>
<td>1.4000</td>
<td>1.8000</td>
<td>1.6000</td>
<td>2.0000</td>
<td>3.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Deviation</td>
<td>.49976</td>
<td>.52594</td>
<td>.51371</td>
<td>.49849</td>
<td>.50779</td>
<td>.77617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skewness</td>
<td>.750</td>
<td>3.608</td>
<td>.454</td>
<td>1.390</td>
<td>.589</td>
<td>.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Error of Skewness</td>
<td>.173</td>
<td>.173</td>
<td>.173</td>
<td>.173</td>
<td>.173</td>
<td>.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.600</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.
4.2 Relationship between Employee Branding Subscales and Turnover Intent

Figures 1 to 5 show the relationship between the Employer Branding subscales and Turnover Intent. Figure 1 indicates that the connection between Interest Value and Turnover Intent is neutral. One does not have effect on the other. Figure 2 suggests that again the relationship between Social Value and Turnover Intent is also neutral. Figure 3 which denotes the connection between Economic Value and Turnover Intent indicates a very slight relationship between these two factors. Figures 4 and 5 which show the relationship between Developmental Value and Application Value and Turnover Intent show that the relationship is again neutral or that there is no significant relationship. These results as noted above are in agreement with Badawy et al., (2015) but in disagreement with Chiang et al., (2013); Ilesanmi (2014); Sokro (2012).
4.3 Correlation Analysis

As can be seen in the table below, a correlation analysis between the components of Employer Branding and Turnover Intent was carried out. The correlation analysis found that the correlation between Employer Branding and Turnover Intent was neither positive nor negative but in fact neutral. Therefore, for the purpose of this dissertation and based on the sample of individuals surveyed there is no correlation between Employer Brand and Turnover Intent.

This indicates that for this particular sample of respondents, although an organisation’s employer brand would be a factor in attracting them it would not be a factor in retaining them. Possible reasons for this could be based on the sample tested. Perhaps for these individuals there are other factors that may influence their intent to leave. Another possible factor resulting in this neutral score could be the reduction on the “job for life” mentality. Modern employees and certainly the individuals sampled that are regular users of LinkedIn which is predominantly used as a recruitment tool/networking site, no longer expect to work in a job for 35 years and then retire. They instead are now open to and actively seeking their new employment which would certainly concur with the findings of this piece of research. Regardless of how strong an organisation’s employer brand is, these individuals plan to leave their current employments at some stage in the future and therefore are indifferent to their organisation’s employer brand once employed, as it will not be a deciding factor when considering a career move.

Although it has been widely proved that correlation does not imply causation, for this research, the lack of association certainly does indicate no causation. It should be again noted at this point that two of the scales received Cronbach Alpha scores of less than the required 0.7 which
was in contrast to Berethon et al., (1995) own Cronbach Alpha score of 0.91. That is not to imply that it impacted the findings, it is just acknowledged.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Turnover Intent Composite</th>
<th>Interest Value Composite</th>
<th>Economic Value Composite</th>
<th>Social Value Composite</th>
<th>Development Value Composite</th>
<th>Application Value Composite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-.025</td>
<td>-.210</td>
<td>.072</td>
<td>-.040</td>
<td>-.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.725</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>.312</td>
<td>.571</td>
<td>.198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>198</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlations

3.4 Further Analysis

While the above correlation analysis clearly shows no correlation between Employer Brand and Turnover Intent a further analysis was carried out to investigate whether the results would differ if focused on any particular demographic. A number of tests were carried out using SPSS using demographic characteristics such as education, level of role, gender and age. Only one demographic characteristic was found to have a linkage between Employer Brand and Turnover Intent, this was the age demographic characteristic. As can be seen below in Figure 1, 2 and 3 when splitting the sample by the demographic characteristic ‘age’ there is a clear linkage between Economic Value and Turnover Intent in respondents aged between 18-29, 40-49 and 50-59 which is in contrast to the above findings. However Economic Value is only one of five components of Employer Branding Berethon et al., (1995), and therefore does not indicate a complete correlation.

Of all the values, for there to have been a relationship with this, possibly the least surprising is the 18-29 demographic group which is generally the lowest paid in an organisation and consequently the most motivated by money, thus making them the most likely to leave should higher remuneration be possible in another organisation. It is somewhat surprising in the 40-49 and 50-59 demographic groups as they are usually the ones who are better paid and have achieved financial success and security in their roles.
As can be seen in the table below, a correlation analysis between the components of Employer Branding and Turnover Intent and split by the demographic characteristic age was carried out. The correlation analysis found that as stated above, there was indeed a correlation between age and the effect Employer Branding has on Turnover Intent when related to Economic Value specifically in the age groups 18-29, 40-49 and 50-59. The other age groups are seemingly unaffected.
## Correlations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Economic Value Composite</th>
<th>Turnover Intent Composite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18 to 29 Years</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>-.386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 to 39 Years</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>-.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 to 49 Years</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>-.371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 to 59 Years</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>-.373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 to 69 Years</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.5 Limitations of study and recommendations for future research

The main limitation of this study was the method of sampling employed and that the research was not based within a specific organisation. While convenience sampling was indeed the most convenient method it is certainly not the most accurate. On reflection, having completed the research, the researcher acknowledges that in order to ascertain truly if an organisation’s Employer Brand effects attraction and retention to it, further research should be carried out with employees of an individual organisation. Furthermore, using a combination of qualitative and quantitative research would provide deeper insight into the matter.
5. Conclusion

The aim of this dissertation was to examine whether Employer Branding was a valuable tool in attraction and retention for Irish organisations. A thorough review of relevant literature was carried out and a gap in research identified which formed the basis for this dissertation. Upon identifying this gap, all research methodologies were considered and quantitative research method was selected on the basis of being the most suitable and enabling the researcher to reach a larger sample.

A unique sample was surveyed for the purpose of this dissertation. The researcher utilised her LinkedIn network of business professionals. Although seemingly ad-hoc, the method of data collection gathered for the purpose of revenue generation and new business development, contained valuable and worthwhile respondents.

Data was collected and analysed and the main findings that were uncovered were that: Employer Branding is indeed a valuable tool in attracting candidates for Irish organisations. This was in agreement with the original findings of Backhaus and Tikoo (2004) and Highhouse et al., (2003) and also corresponded with the more recent research carried out by Sokro (2015).

However, the findings of this piece of research indicate that the respondents surveyed felt that none of these components of Employer Brand impacted their turnover intent and therefore would not be of benefit in retaining employees.

Our findings indicate that Employer Branding does not seem to have any correlation with Turnover Intent and therefore cannot be a valuable tool in retaining employees in Irish organisations. The researcher found the second finding to be not only largely in contradiction to the research and literature reviewed, but also inconsistent to her own beliefs. However, the researcher acknowledges that what may be of value to her may not be of value to others. In relation to the lack of evidence indicating a correlation between Employer Brand and retention, it appears that for the respondents surveyed none of the components of Employer Brand that Berethon et al., (1995) indicated have any impact on their intent to stay or leave their organisation.

This contradicts the whole notion of the psychological contract (Rousseau 1995). Armstrong described components of the psychological contract to include: developmental opportunities, job security, trust in management, fairness and equality, and trust in the employer to keep the promises it made with Rousseau (1995) noting that the psychological contract can be broken.
when an organisation forgets about the promises it has made to its employees and instead focuses solely on its own goals and targets. This can then result in an increase in turnover. Chiang et al., (2013) found Employer Branding to have a positive connection with the psychological contract as it positively influenced the behaviours and attitudes of its employees.

In relation to the lack of evidence indicating a correlation between Employer Brand and retention, it appears that for the respondents surveyed none of the components of Employer Brand that Berethon et al., (1995) indicated have any impact on their intent to stay or leave their organisation. This was in contrast to the findings of Ilesanmi (2014) and Sokro (2012) who found there to be strong linkages between Employer Branding and retention and Chiang et al., (2013) who found that Employer Branding would create a psychological ownership between the employee and the brand similar to Rousseau’s (1995) psychological contract and would therefore increase retention.

Nevertheless, this research has undoubtedly proven that Employer Brand is a valuable tool in attracting candidates to Irish organisations and thus is an area worthy of allocating resources to. Recruitment costs can be extremely high for organisations and having a strong Employer Brand that is recognisable and substantial in the market will be of great value to organisations. The recommendations given below will provide cost effective methods that should not only increase satisfaction in existing employees but also strengthen the external Employer Brand.

6. Recommendations and Costings

Although this piece of research has found that there is no relationship between Employer Brand and retention, it does indeed recognise the value of Employer Branding in attraction or recruitment. Many organisation need to employ the aid of recruitment agencies in order to secure top talent for their organisations at considerable expense when in fact they should focus on building and strengthening their employer brand as a means of attracting employees.

I have taken inspiration from Berethon et al., (1995) EmpAt scale for my recommendations and focused on low cost options that will not only build and strengthen the employer brand but increase satisfaction.
6.1. Interest Value

“The organisation both values and makes use of your creativity” (Berethon et al., 1995). As a method of inspiring and encouraging creativity, an organisation could allow its employees to have some allocated time to work on ideas or projects of their own interest on that are not particularly related to work. Something like one half day per month would be sufficient. Such an initiative would not only be a great benefit for employees but will also have a strengthening effect on the psychological contract.

6.1.1 Costings

Allocating some flexi time to employees would have minimal costs associated with it except the loss of earnings associated with each employee. However, the types of employees that would value and make use of this time, would be inclined to make up their workload in the rest of the month anyway, so the organisation would be unlikely to be at a disadvantage as a result of such an initiative.

6.2 Social Value

“Having a good relationship with your colleagues and superiors and fun working environments” (Berethon et al., 1995). Organisations should encourage employee run social clubs and help facilitate them. Candidates now are interested in what else organisations offer outside of remuneration packages and factor-in all elements offered when making a decision regarding a desirable place to work. Opportunities for employees of all levels to mix in a social setting and outside of the office hierarchy can be both good not only for the Employer Brand but also the satisfaction of employees.

6.2.1 Costings

Social clubs although encouraged by organisations can be subsidised by the employees so cost should be kept low. For example the club could arrange Yoga, Pilates or HIIT classes on site for employees and just charge per session, because of the size of the group the cost is minimal. An average cost for a class of 30 people is around 5 euro per person which also covers insurance.

6.3 Economic Value

“Good promotion opportunities within the organisation” (Berethon et al., 1995). Regularly employees can be somewhat vague about promotional opportunities with their organisation.
They may not understand the level their own job is graded at and be unaware of the steps needed to get to the next level. Organisations should have well-defined, transparent career paths for employees. All roles should be advertised internally in the first instance and external candidates should not be approached until the internal pool has been exhausted. Too often discontent occurs in organisations where employees never hear a role has been vacant until an external candidate is appointed. Organisations should at least allow their employees to compete for a role. Offering sessions with their experienced HR employees in relation to CV clinics, interviews skills and mock interviews will at least facilitate promotional opportunities.

**6.3.1 Costings**

There will be no cost associated with making the internal recruitment process fair and transparent. Exhausting the internal talent pool will not only show belief in existing staff but may also reduce external recruitment costs. Utilising existing HR staff to provide clinics also will not create cost.

6.4. Developmental Value

“Feeling good about yourself as a result of working for a particular organisation” (Berethon et al., 1995). Introducing a CSR programme will not only improve an organisation’s public image but also instil pride in its employees. It does not need to be at huge cost either, initiatives like donating days for employees to work for charities of their own choice are a low cost method for all involved.

**6.4.1 Costing**

Again as costs should be minimal for this, donating days and staff to charities will not cost hugely to the organisation, just the loss of earnings associated with that employee for the day or days. What it will do for the organisation’s reputation will far outweigh the loss of earnings.

6.5 Application Value
“Opportunity to teach others what you have learned” (Berethon et al 1995). A well-run and designed Mentoring Program will allow employees to utilise their application value and share their skills and knowledge with other employees. A Mentoring Program will be of great value not only to the mentee but also the mentor.

6.5.1 Costing

Again there should be no cost for a Mentoring Program as it is utilising senior employees to act as Mentors to junior ones.

6.6 Timelines for Recommendations

The recommendations that I have suggested are all initiatives that will take a short time to introduce. All five recommendations could be executed and up and running in no more than a six month time period.

6.7 Personal Learning Statement

I found the dissertation process to be an extremely challenging one as I can on occasion struggle with sustaining motivation. It was by far the hardest and most testing element of the Master’s program. However, having the opportunity to research an area that was of such great interest to me was extremely rewarding and shall be of great benefit to me in my career. Carrying out an in-depth investigation into the area of Employer Branding and attraction and retention has given me a depth of knowledge and expertise that I feel I will continue to build on in the future.

The area of quantitative research was completely new to me and although I found it quite difficult to grasp, the support of Jonathan in NCI enabled me to be able to analyse and interpret my data. Taking on something that was so much outside my comfort zone and managing to succeed in it, will certainly give me confidence to do so again in any future endeavours.

This has been by far the largest piece of research or academic work that I have ever undertaken or probably will ever undertake. Having to self-motivate and manage my own time efficiently was a constant struggle but I am very proud that I not only managed to complete this dissertation but that I was able to do so well ahead of time. It was rewarding to be able to see a piece of work take shape and to be able to in some way discover findings that I will be able to take away and apply in my own work.
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Appendices

Appendix 1 Questionnaire introduction
Hello
You are invited to participate in our survey in which we hope to measure the value of Employer Branding in attracting and retaining employees. In this survey, you will be asked to complete a survey that seeks to create insight into the area of employer branding and how it affects attraction and turnover intention. It will take approximately 5 minutes to complete the questionnaire.

Your participation in this study is completely voluntary. However, if you feel uncomfortable answering any questions, you can withdraw from the survey at any point. It is very important for us to learn your opinions.

Your survey responses will be strictly confidential and data from this research will be reported only in the aggregate. Your information will be coded and will remain confidential. If you have questions at any time about the survey or the procedures, you may contact Jeyaseelie O'Sullivan at 0879770573 or by email at jeyos@live.com.

Thank you very much for your time and support. Please start with the survey now by clicking on the Continue button below.

Appendix 2 Demographic Questions
What is your gender
1. Male
2. Female

What is your age?
1. 18-29
2. 30-39
3. 40-49
4. 50-59
5. 60-69

What is the highest level of education you have attained?
1. Leaving cert or equivalent
2. Trade/technical/vocational training
3. Undergraduate
4. Postgraduate
5. PHD

Which sector are you employed in?
1. Public sector
2. Private sector
3. Semi-state
4. Self employed

What level is your current role graded at?
1. Junior
2. Mid-level
3. Senior
4. Senior management

Do you have anyone reporting to you?
1. Yes
2. No

Appendix 3 Scales

EmpAt Scale

How important are the following to you when considering potential employers?
Very important 1 2 3 4 5 Not important

**Interest Value**
- Innovative employer – novel work practices/forward-thinking
- The organisation produces high-quality products and services
- The organisation both values and makes use of your creativity
- The organisation produces innovative products and services
- Working in an exciting environment

**Social Value**
- Having a good relationship with your colleagues
- Having a good relationship with your superiors
- Supportive and encouraging colleagues
- A fun working environment
- Happy work environment

**Economic Value**
- Good promotion opportunities within the organisation
- Job security within the organisation
- Hands-on inter-departmental experience
- An above average basic salary
- An attractive overall compensation package
Developmental Value
- Recognition/appreciation from management
- A springboard for future employment
- Feeling good about yourself as a result of working for a particular organisation
- Feeling more self-confident as a result of working for a particular organisation
- Gaining career-enhancing experience

Application Value
- Humanitarian organisation - gives back to society
- Opportunity to apply what was learned at a tertiary institution
- Opportunity to teach others what you have learned
- Acceptance and belonging
- The organisation is customer-orientated

Turnover Scale (tis-6)
Scale: 1 = Never to 5 = Always

- How often do you dream about getting another job that will better suit your personal needs?
- How often are you frustrated when not given the opportunity at work to achieve your personal work-related goals?
- How often have you considered leaving your job?
- How likely are you to accept another job at the same compensation level should it be offered to you?
- To what extent is your current job satisfying your personal needs?
- How often do you look forward to another day at work?